

# SIVE | PAGET | RIESEL

MARK A. CHERTOK  
DIRECT DIAL: 646.378.7228  
MCHERTOK@SPRLAW.COM

August 9, 2024

**VIA ECF**

The Honorable Lewis J. Liman  
United States District Court  
Southern District of New York  
500 Pearl Street  
New York, New York 10007

**Re:** *Chan v. U.S. Dep’t of Transp.*, No. 23 Civ. 10365 (S.D.N.Y.) (LJL); *New Yorkers Against Congestion Pricing Tax v. U.S. Dep’t of Transp.*, No. 24 Civ. 367 (S.D.N.Y.) (LJL); *Mulgrew v. U.S. Dep’t of Transp.*, No. 24 Civ. 1644 (S.D.N.Y.) (LJL); *Trucking Ass’n of N.Y. v. Metro. Transp. Auth.*, No. 24 Civ. 4111 (S.D.N.Y.) (LJL)

Dear Judge Liman,

We write on behalf of all parties to update the Court on status and propose modifications to the schedule for certain filings in the above-referenced matters.

First, on July 26, 2024, plaintiffs in the *Chan* and *Mulgrew* cases filed motions to amend those complaints to modify and add claims based on the National Environmental Policy Act (“NEPA”). In accordance with the agreement of the parties in those actions as so ordered by the Court on July 29, 2024, the deadline for defendants to oppose the motions or to answer or move to dismiss the amended claims is currently August 30, 2024. See *Chan* ECF 107 and *Mulgrew* ECF 94, respectively. The parties propose to maintain that deadline for any papers in opposition to the motions to amend. The parties propose to submit by September 13, 2024 a joint status report proposing a schedule for further filings relating to the proposed new/modified NEPA claims.

Pursuant to a prior agreement of the parties in the *New Yorkers* and *Mulgrew* actions, so ordered by the Court on July 3 and 8, 2024, respectively, the current deadline for defendants to answer or move to dismiss the claims asserted in those actions based on the U.S. and New York State Constitutions is also August 30, 2024. See *New Yorkers* ECF 98 and *Mulgrew* ECF 91, respectively. The parties propose to extend the date to answer or move to dismiss these constitutional claims, as well as identical claims in the *Chan* action, until September 30, 2024, consistent with the deadline to answer or move in the other cases asserting constitutional challenges to the Central Business District Tolling Program (“CBDTP”) pending before Judge

The Honorable Lewis J. Liman  
 August 9, 2024  
 Page 2 of 3

Seibel.<sup>1</sup> This proposal also follows the commencement in New York State Supreme Court of two proceedings challenging Governor Hochul’s “pause” of CBDTP implementation, which cases are scheduled to be heard in mid-September.<sup>2</sup>

Similarly, in *Trucking Association*, on August 2, 2024, the Court ordered the following schedule: defendants’ pre-motion letters to be filed by August 9, plaintiff’s response(s) to be filed by August 16, and motions to dismiss to be filed by September 6, 2024. The parties now propose to move those dates forward by several weeks; *i.e.*, defendants’ pre-motion letters to be filed by September 4, plaintiff’s response(s) to be filed by September 11, and motions to dismiss to be filed by September 30, 2024.

In sum, the proposed schedule, in chronological order, is as follows:

August 30, 2024: Defendants’ oppositions (if any) to proposed amendments to NEPA claims in *Chan* and *Mulgrew*.

September 4, 2024: Defendants’ pre-motion letters in *Trucking Association*.

September 11, 2024: Plaintiff’s responsive letter(s) in *Trucking Association*.

September 13, 2024: Joint status report proposing further schedule for NEPA claims in *New Yorkers*, *Chan* and *Mulgrew*.

September 30, 2024: Partial motions to dismiss constitutional claims in *Chan*, *New Yorkers*, and *Mulgrew*; motions to dismiss in *Trucking Association*.

We thank the Court for its attention to this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Mark A. Chertok  
 Mark A. Chertok  
 Elizabeth Knauer  
 SIVE, PAGET & RIESEL, P.C.  
 560 Lexington Ave., 15th Floor  
 (646) 378-7228 / (646) 378-7272  
 mchertok@sprlaw.com  
 eknauer@sprlaw.com

---

<sup>1</sup> See *County of Rockland v. Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Auth.*, No. 24 Civ. 2285 (S.D.N.Y.) (CS), ECF 21; *Neuhaus v. Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Auth.*, No. 24 Civ. 3983 (S.D.N.Y.) (CS), ECF 20.

<sup>2</sup> See *Riders Alliance v. Hochul*, Index No. 156711/2024 (Sup. Ct., N.Y. County), NYSCEF 16; *The City Club of New York v. Hochul*, Index No. 156696/2024 (Sup. Ct., N.Y. County), NYSCEF 14.

The Honorable Lewis J. Liman  
August 9, 2024  
Page 3 of 3

*/s/ Roberta A. Kaplan*  
Roberta A. Kaplan  
KAPLAN MARTIN LLP  
156 West 56<sup>th</sup> Street | Suite 207  
New York, NY 10019  
(212) 316-9500  
rkaplan@kaplanmartin.com

*/s/ Gabrielle E. Tenzer*  
Gabrielle E. Tenzer  
HECKER FINK LLP  
350 Fifth Avenue, 63<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10118  
rkaplan@heckerfink.com  
gtenzer@heckerfink.com

Joshua A. Matz  
Kate Harris (*admitted pro hac vice*)  
HECKER FINK LLP  
1050 K Street NW, Suite 1040  
Washington, DC 20001  
jmatz@heckerfink.com  
kharris@heckerfink.com

*Counsel for Defendants the Metropolitan  
Transportation Authority and the Triborough  
Bridge and Tunnel Authority*